- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3759.
  - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3759, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3759 are, separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, and in light of the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$2,480.00.

#### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jenny Joy Digardi has subjected her Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 44340 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

28

# **ORDER** IT IS ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 44340, heretofore issued to Respondent Jenny Joy Digardi, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on November 23, 2011. It is so ORDERED October 24, 2011. (. Wusi STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 20492353.DOC DOJ Matter ID: SF2011201126 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

	I					
ì	KAMALA D. HARRIS					
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRETT A. KINGSBURY					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 243744					
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004					
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1192 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9						
10						
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3759					
12	JENNY JOY DIGARDI 10222 El Dorado Way					
13	Kelseyville, CA 95451 Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH  A C C U S A T I O N					
14	44340					
15	Respondent.					
16						
17	Complainant alleges:					
18	<u>PARTIES</u>					
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity					
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.					
21	2. On or about September 27, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician					
22	License Number TCH 44340 to Jenny Joy Digardi (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician					
23	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will					
24	expire on October 31, 2012, unless renewed.					
25	111					
26	///					
27						
28						
	1					

### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states:
  - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- "(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
  - "(1) Suspending judgment.
  - "(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
  - "(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
  - "(4) Revoking his or her license.
- "(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.

ff .

- "(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure."
- 5. Section 118(b) of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

# STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 4301 of the Code states:

5 6

7

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

H . . .

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

11

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

.14

7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

8. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

## **METHAMPHETAMINE**

- 9. Health & Safety Code Section 11055(d)(2) provides that Methamphetamine is a Schedule II drug.
- 10. Health & Safety Code Section 11377(a) makes illegal the possession of Schedule II drugs without a prescription.

#### COSTS

11. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Criminal Convictions)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Sections 490 and 4301(1) of the Code and Title 16, Section 1770 of California Code of Regulations, in the Respondent was convicted of certain criminal offenses. The circumstances are as follows:

- 13. On or about March 4, 2010, in the Superior Court of California for the County of Sonoma, Respondent pled guilty to and was convicted of violating California Penal Code Section 148.9(a) (falsely identifying to a police officer), a misdemeanor. The circumstances were that on or around August 18, 2009, police arrested Respondent for her involvement in an ID theft conspiracy. When confronted, Respondent lied to them about the identification of a coconspirator.
- 14. On or about March 4, 2010, in the Superior Court of California for the County of Sonoma, Respondent pled no contest to and was convicted of violating California Penal Code Section 496d(a) (possession of a stolen automobile), a felony. The circumstances were that in or around November of 2009, Respondent was arrested driving a stolen 2006 Lexus.
- 15. On or about March 4, 2010, in the Superior Court of California for the County of Sonoma, Respondent pled no contest to and was convicted of violating California Health & Safety Code Section 11377(a) (possession of methamphetamine), a felony. The circumstances were that in or around February 20, 2010, Respondent was arrested with methamphetamine and a hypodermic needle.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Violation of Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 4301(j) in that Respondent violated a statute regulating controlled substances. The circumstances are as follows:
- 17. As described above in the First Cause for Discipline, Respondent violated California Health & Safety Code Section 11377(a) (possession of methamphetamine) on or around February 20, 2010.
- 18. Respondent violated the same law on at least two other occassions, both of which led to her arrest. Specifically:
- (A) on or around November 3, 2009, Respondent possessed methamphetamine along with hypodermic needles and was arrested on that basis.
- (B) on or around December 11, 2009, Respondent possessed methamphetamine along with hypodermic needles and was arrested on that basis.

28

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Act Involving Dishonesty)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Sections 4301(f) of the Code in that Respondent committed an act involving dishonesty on August 18, 2009. The circumstances are described above in the First Cause for Discipline.

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 44340, issued to Jenny Joy Digardi;
- 2. Ordering Jenny Joy Digardi to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	5	77	[]]	
	1		,	

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy.

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SF2011201126 20451532.doc